WO

П

 \Box

United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	v.	ORDER O	F DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
<u>J</u> o	orge Resendez-Ramirez	Case Number:	13-6017M	
Defendant		counsel. Í conclude b	n hearing was held on January 9, 2013. y a preponderance of the evidence the ding trial in this case.	
I find by a բ	FINI preponderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of th	ot a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was	in the United States illegally.	
		n/her beyond the juris	ings by the Bureau of Immigration and diction of this Court and the defendant	
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United	States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources reasonably calculated to assure h		rom which he/she might make a bond ce.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crimina	I history.		

There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United

☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of ______ years imprisonment.

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The defendant lives/works in Mexico.

States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.

2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 9th day of January, 2013.

David K. Duncan

United States Magistrate Judge